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## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Average Widths and Optimal Recovery

Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, let $E$ be a measurable subset of $R^{d}$, and let $L_{p}(E)$ denote the Banach space of measurable functions $x(\cdot)$ on $E$ with the norm

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L_{p}(E)}:=\left\{\int_{E}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right\}^{1 / p}, & 1 \leqslant p<\infty, \\
\|f\|_{L_{\infty}(E)}:=\underset{x \in E}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|f(x)|, & p=\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

For convenience, we write $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)}$.
${ }^{1}$ Supported partly by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 19671012) and partly by the Doctoral Programme Foundation of Institution of Higher Education of Country Education Committee of China.

In [2, 3, 5, 7], the authors studied some problems of the average widths and optimal recovery of Sobolev classes of smooth functions defined on the Euclidean space $R^{d}$ in the metric of $L_{q}\left(R^{d}\right)$ and obtained some exact results (for $d=1$ ) and some weak asymptotic results (for $d>1$ ), respectively. In the present paper, we study the same problems in Besov spaces defined on $R^{d}$. To do these, as in [2,5], we mention some needed terminology here.

Let $\alpha>0$ and let $P_{\alpha}$ be the continuous linear operator in $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ defined by $P_{\alpha}:=\chi_{\alpha}(\cdot) x(\cdot)$, where $\chi_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ is the characteristic function of the cube $[-\alpha, \alpha]^{d}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$, and let $L$ be a subspace of $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$. We define

$$
K_{\varepsilon}\left(\alpha, L, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right):=\min \left\{n \in Z_{+} \mid d_{n}\left(P_{\alpha}\left(L \cap B L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right), L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)<\varepsilon\right\},
$$

where $d_{n}(A, X)$ denotes the Komolgorov $n$-width of $A$ in $X$. The average dimension of $L$ in $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ is defined to be

$$
\overline{\operatorname{dim}}\left(L, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right):=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \liminf _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{\varepsilon}\left(\alpha, L, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)}{(2 \alpha)^{d}}
$$

Let $\sigma>0$ and let $C$ be a centrally symmetric subset of $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$. The average Kolmogorov $\sigma$-width (average $\sigma-K$ width) of $C$ in $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ is defined to be

$$
\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(C, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right):=\inf _{L} \sup _{x(\cdot) \in C} \inf _{y(\cdot) \in L}\|x(\cdot)-y(\cdot)\|_{L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all subspaces $L \subset L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ such that $\overline{\operatorname{dim}}\left(L, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \leqslant \sigma$.

The average linear $\sigma$-width (shortly, average $\sigma-L$ width) of $C$ in $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ is defined to be

$$
\bar{d}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(C, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right):=\inf _{(Y, \Lambda)} \sup _{x(\cdot) \in C}\|x(\cdot)-\Lambda x(\cdot)\|_{p}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all pairs $(Y, \Lambda)$ such that, for each pair $(Y, \Lambda), Y$ is a normed space which is continuously imbedded in $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$, $C \subset Y, \Lambda$ is a continuous linear operator from $Y$ to $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$, and $\overline{\operatorname{dim}}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Lambda, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \leqslant \sigma$, while $\operatorname{Im} \Lambda$ denotes the range of the operator $\Lambda$.

It follows at once from the definitions that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(C, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \leqslant \bar{d}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(C, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \tag{1.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\sigma>0$, let $\Theta_{\sigma}$ be the set of all sequences $\xi=\left\{\xi_{v}\right\}_{v \in Z^{d}}$ of points $\xi_{v}$ in $R^{d}$, $v \in Z^{d}$, satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\left|\xi_{v}\right| \leqslant\left|\xi_{v^{\prime}}\right|$, if and only if $|v| \leqslant\left|v^{\prime}\right|$ for $v, v^{\prime} \in Z^{d}$,
(ii) $\xi_{v} \neq \xi_{v^{\prime}}$, if and only if $v \neq v^{\prime}$ for $v, v^{\prime} \in Z^{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{card}} \xi:=\liminf _{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{card}\left(\xi \cap[-c, c]^{d}\right)}{(2 c)^{d}} \leqslant \sigma . \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $|\cdot|$ is the usual Euclidean norm, and $\operatorname{card}\left(\xi \cap[-c, c]^{d}\right)$, for any $c>0$, denotes the number of elements of the set $\xi \cap[-c, c]^{d}$.

Let $X\left(R^{d}\right)$ be a normed space of functions on $R^{d}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$. Put

$$
E(A, B, X):=\sup _{x(\cdot) \in A} \inf _{y(\cdot) \in B}\|x(\cdot)-y(\cdot)\|_{X}
$$

for the subsets $A, B$ of $X\left(R^{d}\right)$.
Let $K \subset X\left(R^{d}\right)$. The quantity

$$
d(K):=\sup _{x(\cdot), y(\cdot) \in K}\|x(\cdot)-y(\cdot)\|_{X}
$$

is called the diameter of $K$. For $\xi \in \Theta_{\sigma}$, the information of $f \in K$ is defined by $I_{\xi} f=\left\{f\left(\xi_{v}\right)\right\}_{v \in Z^{d} .} . I_{\xi}$ is called a standard sampling operator of the average cardinality $\leqslant \sigma$. The quantity

$$
\Delta_{\sigma}(K, X):=\inf _{\xi \in \mathcal{S}} \sup _{f \in K} d\left(I_{\xi}^{-1} I_{\xi} f \cap K\right)
$$

is called the net width or the minimum information diameter of the set $K$ in the space $X\left(R^{d}\right)$. If K is a balanced and convex subset of $X\left(R^{d}\right)$, then

$$
\Delta_{\sigma}(K, X)=2 \inf _{\xi \in \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\sigma}} \sup \left\{\|f\|_{X}: I_{\xi} f=0, f \in K\right\} .
$$

For any $\xi \in \Theta_{\sigma}$, a mapping $\varphi: I_{\xi}(K) \rightarrow X\left(R^{d}\right)$ is called an algorithm and $\varphi \cdot I_{\xi} f$ is called a recoverying function of $f$ in $X\left(R^{d}\right)$. Denote by $\Phi_{\xi}$ the set of all algorithms on $K$. If $\varphi$ can be extended into a linear operator on the linearized set of $K$, we call the algorithm $\varphi$ to be linear. Denote by $\Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{L}}$ the set of all linear algorithms on the linearized set of $K$. The quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\sigma}(K, X):=\inf _{\xi \in \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\sigma}} \inf _{\varphi \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\xi}} \sup _{f \in K}\left\|f-\varphi\left(I_{\xi} f\right)\right\|_{X} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the minimum intrinsic error of the optimal recovery of the set $K$ in the space $X$. Taking $\Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{L}}$ in the place of $\Phi_{\xi}$ in right side of (1.1), we denote the obtained quantity by $E_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{L}}(K, X)$ and call it the minimum linear
intrinsic error. If $K$ is a convex and centrally symmetric subset of $X$, then by [13], there hold the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\sigma}(K, X) \leqslant E_{\sigma}(K, X) \leqslant E_{\sigma}^{L}(K, X) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2. Besov Classes

Suppose that $k \in N$. For each $f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{t}^{k} f(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{k}(-1)^{l+k}\binom{k}{l} f(x+l t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $k$ th difference of the function $f$ at the point $x$ with step $t$. When $t=\left(0, \ldots, 0, t_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$, we denote $\Delta_{t}^{k} f(x)$ by $\Delta_{t_{j}}^{k} f(x)$.

Definition 1. Let $k \in N, r>0, k-r>0,1 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \infty$, and $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. We say $f \in B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)$ if the $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$,
(ii) $\quad\|f\|_{b_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)}:= \begin{cases}\left\{\int_{R^{d}}\left(\frac{\left\|\Delta_{t}^{k} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p}}{|t|^{r}}\right)^{\theta} \frac{d t}{|t|^{d}}\right\}^{1 / \theta}<\infty, & 1 \leqslant \theta<\infty, \\ \sup _{|t| \neq 0} \frac{\left\|\Delta_{t}^{k} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p}}{|t|^{r}}<\infty, & \theta=\infty .\end{cases}$

By [4], the linear space $B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)}:=\|f\|_{p}+\|f\|_{b_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)}
$$

and is called an isotropic Besov space.
Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \in Z_{+}^{d}, \mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{d}\right), r_{j}>0, k_{j}>r_{j}$, $j=1, \ldots, d, 1 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \infty$, and $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. We say $f \in B_{p \theta}^{\mathbf{r}}\left(R^{d}\right)$ if it satisfies the following conditions.
(i) $f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$,
(ii) $\|f\|_{b_{x j}^{r_{j}} p \theta^{\left(R^{d}\right)}}:= \begin{cases}\left\{\int_{R^{d}}\left(\frac{\left\|\Delta_{t_{j}}^{k_{j}} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p}}{\mid t_{j} r_{j}}\right)^{\theta} \frac{d t_{j}}{\left|t_{j}\right|}\right\}^{1 / \theta}<\infty, & 1 \leqslant \theta<\infty, \\ \sup _{t_{j} \neq 0} \frac{\left\|\Delta_{t_{j}}^{k_{j}} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p}}{\left|t_{j}\right|^{r_{j}}}<\infty, & \theta=\infty,\end{cases}$
for $j=1, \ldots, d$.

By [4], the linear space $B_{p \theta}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(R^{d}\right)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)}:=\|f\|_{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\|f\|_{b_{x j p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)},
$$

and is called an anisotropic Besov space. When $\theta=\infty, B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)$ and $B_{p \theta}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(R^{d}\right)$ coincide with the Hölder-Nikolskii spaces $H_{p}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)$ and $H_{p}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(R^{d}\right)$, respectively. When $r_{1}=\cdots=r_{d}$, from [4], we see that $B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)=B_{p \theta}^{r, \ldots, r}\left(R^{d}\right)$.

For a real vector $\mathbf{M}=\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{d}\right), M_{j}>0, j=1, \ldots, d$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{p \theta}^{r} b\left(R^{d}\right):=\left\{f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right):\|f\|_{b_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} \leqslant 1\right\}, \\
& S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right):=\left\{f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right):\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} \leqslant 1\right\}, \\
& S_{p \theta}^{r} b\left(R^{d}\right):=\left\{f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right):\|f\|_{b_{x j}^{r}}^{r} p \theta\left(R^{d}\right) \leqslant M_{j}, j=1, \ldots, d\right\}, \\
& S_{p \theta}^{\mathbf{r}} B\left(R^{d}\right):=\left\{f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right):\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} \leqslant 1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.3. Main Results

Let $\rho>0, v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}\right), v_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, d$, and $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. Denote by $B_{v}^{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ the set of all those functions from $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ in which for each function $f$ the support of the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ in the distributional sense of $f$ is contained in $\left[-v_{1}, v_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[-v_{d}, v_{d}\right]$. The Schwartz theorem states that $B_{v}^{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ coincides with the set of all theose functions from $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ which can be continued analytically to entire functions of type $w \leqslant v$. Here $w \leqslant v$ means that $w_{j} \leqslant v_{j}, j=1, \ldots, d$, for each $w \in R_{+}^{d}=\left\{x \in R^{d}: x_{j}>0\right.$, $j=1, \ldots, d\}$.

The approximation features of periodic Besov classes have been studied by many authors $[9,12]$. In this paper, we study the problems of the average Kolmogorov width, average linear width, and optimal recovery of the Besov classes $S_{p \theta}^{r} b\left(R^{d}\right), S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right), S_{p \theta}^{\mathbf{r}} b\left(R^{d}\right)$, and $S_{p \theta}^{\mathbf{r}} B\left(R^{d}\right)$, and obtain the following results.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \in Z_{+}^{d}, \mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{d}\right), k_{j}>r_{j}>0, j=1, \ldots, d$, $1 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \infty, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and $\sigma \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu \sigma^{-a} & \ll \bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{A}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \leqslant \bar{d}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{A}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \leqslant \sup _{f \in \mathscr{A}}\left\|f-T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}} f\right\|_{p} \ll \mu \sigma^{-a},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathscr{A}=S_{p \theta}^{\mathbf{r}} b\left(R^{d}\right)$ or $S_{p \theta}^{\mathbf{r}} B\left(R^{d}\right), a=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} 1 / r_{j}\right)^{-1}, \mu=\Pi_{j=1}^{d} M_{j}^{a / r_{j}}$ (when $\left.\mathscr{A}=S_{p \theta}^{\mathrm{r}} B\left(R^{d}\right), \mu=1\right)$, and $T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}} f$ will be defined in Section 2.
(2) $B_{\boldsymbol{\rho}(\sigma)}^{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ is a weakly asymptotic optimal subspace of average dimension $\leqslant \sigma$ for $\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{A}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)$, where $\rho(\sigma)=\left(\rho_{1}(\sigma), \ldots, \rho_{d}(\sigma)\right), \rho_{i}(\sigma)>0$,
is defined by $\rho_{j}(\sigma)=\left(\mu^{-1} M_{j} \sigma^{a}\right)^{1 / r_{j}} \quad\left(\right.$ when $\left.\mathscr{A}=S_{p \theta}^{\mathbf{r}} B\left(R^{d}\right), \quad \rho_{j}(\sigma)=\sigma^{a / r_{j}}\right)$, $j=1, \ldots, d$.

Since $B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)=B_{p \theta}^{r, \ldots, r}\left(R^{d}\right)$, then, taking $M_{j}=1, r_{j}=r, j=1, \ldots, d$, in Theorem 1, we have a corollary of Theorem 1 as follows.

COROLLARY 1. Let $k \in N, r>0, k-r>0,1 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \infty, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and $\sigma \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{-r / d} & \ll \bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{M}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \leqslant \bar{d}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{M}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \leqslant \sup _{f \in \mathscr{M}}\left\|f-T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}} f\right\|_{p} \ll \sigma^{-r / d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathscr{M}=S_{p \theta}^{r} b\left(R^{d}\right)$ or $S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right)$.
(2) $\quad B_{\rho(\sigma)}^{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ is a weakly asymptotic optimal subspace of average dimension $\sigma$ for $\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{M}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)$, where $\rho(\sigma) \geqslant 0$ is defined by $\rho(\sigma)=\sigma^{1 / d}$.

Theorem 2. Let $k \in N, r>d / p, \quad k-r>0, \quad 1 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \infty, \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and $\sigma \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{-r / d} & \ll \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\sigma}\left(S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right), L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \leqslant E_{\sigma}\left(S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right), L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant E_{\sigma}^{L}\left(S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right), L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \ll \sigma^{-r / d}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the remainder of the paper, the constants $c_{1}, c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}, \ldots$ are dependent only on $d, p, \theta$, and $r$.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

To prove Theorem 1, we first give some lemmas as follows.

Lemma 1 (cf. [1]). Let $\rho>0, v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}\right), v_{j}>0, j=1, \ldots, d$, and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Then

$$
\overline{\operatorname{dim}}\left(B_{v}^{p}\left(R^{d}\right), L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)=\frac{v_{1} \cdots v_{d}}{(\pi)^{d}} .
$$

Let $B\left(l_{p}^{N}\right)$ denote the unit ball of the space $l_{p}^{N}$.

Lemma 2 (cf. [11]). If $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 1 \leqslant n<N$, then

$$
d_{n}\left(B\left(l_{p}^{N}\right), l_{p}^{N}\right)=1
$$

where $d_{n}(A, X)$ denotes the usual Kolmogorov $n$-width of $A$ in $X$, while $X$ is a normed linear space and $A$ one of its subsets.

Proof of Theorem 1. To find the upper bound, we first construct the following continuous linear operators from $B_{p \theta}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(R^{d}\right)$ to $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$. For any $f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right), t \in R^{d}$, and natural number $l$, one has the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{l+1} \Delta_{t}^{l} f(x) & =(-1)^{l+1} \sum_{j=0}^{l}(-1)^{l+j}\binom{l}{j} f(x+j t) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{j} f(x+j t)-f(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{j}=1$. For any real number $v>0$, let

$$
g_{v}(t)=\lambda_{v, s}^{-1}\left(\frac{\sin v t}{t}\right)^{2 s} \quad(t \in R, 2 s>1)
$$

be an even entire function of one variable of exponential type $2 s v$, where $\lambda_{v, s}=\int_{R}(\sin v t / t)^{2 s} d t \asymp v^{2 s-1}, v \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\rho=\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}\right), \rho_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, d$. For any $f \in B_{p \theta}^{\mathbf{r}}\left(R^{d}\right)$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\rho_{i}}(f, x) & :=\int_{R} g_{\rho_{i}}\left(t_{i}\right)\left((-1)^{k_{i}+1} \Delta_{t_{i}}^{k_{i}} f(x)+f(x)\right) d t_{i} \\
& =\int_{R} g_{\rho_{i}}\left(t_{i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{k_{i}} d_{j} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i}+j t_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) d t_{i} \\
& =\int_{R} G_{\rho_{i}}\left(t_{i}-x_{i}\right) f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, t_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) d t_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G_{\rho_{i}}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{k_{i}}\left(d_{j} / j\right) g_{\rho_{i}}(t / j)$. By [4], $G_{\rho_{i}}(t)$ is an entire function of one variable of exponential type $2 \rho_{i} s$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\rho_{1} \ldots, \rho_{n}}(f, x):= & \int_{R^{n}} G_{\rho_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right) \cdots G_{\rho_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \times f\left(x_{1}+u_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+u_{n}, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) d u,
\end{aligned}
$$

$1 \leqslant n \leqslant d$. Then $T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}}$ is an entire function of $d$ variables of exponential type $\rho=\left(2 s \rho_{1}, \ldots, 2 s \rho_{d}\right)$ (cf. [4]). Let $2 s>d+\max \left\{r_{i}, i=1, \ldots, d\right\}$. By the Minkowskii and Hölder integral inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f(x)-T_{\rho_{1}}(f, x)\right\|_{p}= & \left(\int_{R^{d}}\left|\int_{R} g_{\rho_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \Delta_{t_{1}}^{k_{1}} f(x) d t_{1}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
\leqslant & \int_{R}\left\|\Delta_{t_{1}}^{k_{1}} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p} g_{\rho_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) d t_{1} \\
= & \int_{R}\left(\frac{\left\|\Delta_{t_{1}}^{k_{1}} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p}}{\left|t_{1}\right|^{r_{1}+(1 / \theta)}}\right)\left|t_{1}\right|^{r_{1}+(1 / \theta)} g_{\rho_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) d t_{1} \\
\leqslant & \left(\int_{R}\left(\frac{\left\|\Delta_{t_{1}}^{k_{1}} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p}}{\left|t_{1}\right|^{r_{1}+(1 / \theta)}}\right)^{\theta} d t_{1}\right)^{1 / \theta} \\
& \times\left(\int_{R}\left|t_{1}\right|^{\left(r_{1}+(1 / \theta)\right) \theta^{\prime}}\left|g_{\rho_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|^{\theta^{\prime}} d t_{1}\right)^{1 / \theta^{\prime}} \\
\leqslant & c_{1} \rho_{1}^{-r_{1}}\|f\|_{b_{x_{1} \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}}\left(R^{d}\right)} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 / \theta+1 / \theta^{\prime}=1$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T_{\rho_{1}}(f, x)-T_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}(f, x)\right\|_{p} \\
& =\| \int_{R} G_{\rho_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) f\left(x_{1}+t_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) d t_{1} \\
& \\
& \quad-\int_{R^{2}} G_{\rho_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) G_{\rho_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) f\left(x_{1}+t_{1}, x_{2}+t_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2} \|_{p} \\
& = \\
& \quad\left\|\int_{R} G_{\rho_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) h_{1}\left(x_{1}+t_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) d t_{1}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leqslant
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)-\int_{R} G_{\rho_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+t_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{d}\right) d t_{2}$.

Similarly to (2.1), we can get

$$
\|h(\cdot)\|_{p} \leqslant c_{2} \rho_{2}^{-r_{2}}\|f\|_{b_{x_{2} p \theta}^{r^{2}}\left(R^{d}\right)} .
$$

Inductively, for $2 \leqslant j \leqslant d$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{j-1}}(f, x)-T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{j}}(f, x)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{j} \rho_{j}^{-r_{j}}\|f\|_{b_{x_{j} p^{\prime}}^{r_{j}}\left(R^{d}\right)} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\| f(x) & -T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}}(f, x) \|_{p} \\
& =\left\|f(x)-T_{\rho_{1}}(f, x)+T_{\rho_{1}}(f, x)-T_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}(f, x)+\cdots-T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}}(f, x)\right\|_{p} \\
& \leqslant c \sum_{j=1}^{d} \rho_{j}^{-r_{j}}\|f\|_{b_{x_{j j p} \theta^{r_{j}}\left(R^{d}\right)} .} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.3), it is easy to see that

$$
\left\|T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}}(f, x)\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left(\|f\|_{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\|f\|_{b_{x_{j} p^{\prime}}^{r_{j}}\left(R^{d}\right)}\right) \max \{1, \bar{c}\},
$$

where $\bar{c}=c \max \left\{\rho_{j}^{-r_{j}}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant d\right\}$. Thus, the operator $\Lambda_{2}: B_{p \theta}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(R^{d}\right) \rightarrow L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$, $\Lambda_{2} f(\cdot)=T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}}(f, \cdot)$, is continuous and linear. Put $2 s \rho_{j}=\rho_{j}(\sigma)=$ $\left(\mu^{-1} M_{j} \sigma^{a}\right)^{1 / r_{j}}$ (when $\mathscr{A}=S_{p \theta}^{\mathbf{r}} B\left(R^{d}\right)$, put $\left.\rho_{j}(\sigma)=\sigma^{a / /_{j}}\right)$. Then, by (2.3) and Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{d}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{A}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) & \leqslant \sup _{f \in \mathscr{A}}\left\|f(x)-T_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d}}(f, x)\right\|_{p} \\
& \leqslant c \sup _{f \in \mathscr{A}} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \rho_{j}^{-r_{j}}\|f\|_{b_{x_{j} p^{\prime}}^{r_{j}}\left(R^{d}\right)} \ll \mu \sigma^{-a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To find the lower bound, let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}\right), \lambda_{i}=\left(M_{i} \mu^{-1}(2 \sigma)^{a}\right)^{-1 / r_{i}}$ (when $\left.\mathscr{A}=S_{p \theta}^{\mathrm{r}} B\left(R^{d}\right), \lambda_{i}=(2 \sigma)^{-a / /_{j}}\right), i=1, \ldots, d$, and the non-zero function $\phi(x) \in C^{\infty}(R)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset[0,1]$. For each $j=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right) \in Z^{d}$ and any $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in R^{d}$, set

$$
\Phi_{j, \lambda}(t):=\prod_{k=1}^{d} \phi\left(\lambda_{k}^{-1} t_{k}-j_{k}\right) .
$$

Then $\Phi_{j, \lambda} \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{d}\right)$, and $\operatorname{supp} \Phi_{j, \lambda} \subset \Delta_{j, \lambda}:=\left[j_{1} \lambda_{1},\left(j_{1}+1\right) \lambda_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times$ $\left[j_{d} \lambda_{d},\left(j_{d}+1\right) \lambda_{d}\right]$.

For any $N>0$, set $m_{i}(N):=\left[N \lambda_{i}^{-1}\right]$. We define a set of functions as follows,

$$
L_{m, \lambda}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\Phi_{j, \lambda}(t):-m_{k} \leqslant j_{k} \leqslant m_{k}-1, k=1, \ldots, d\right\} ;
$$

then, the space $L_{m, \lambda}$ is of dimension $\bar{m}_{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(2 m_{i}\right)$. For any $f \in L_{m, \lambda}$, it is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{supp} f \subset\left[-m_{1} \lambda_{1}, m_{1} \lambda_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[-m_{d} \lambda_{d}, m_{d} \lambda_{d}\right] \subset[-N, N]^{d} .
$$

If we write

$$
f(t)=\sum_{j_{1}=-m_{1}}^{m_{1}-1} \cdots \sum_{j_{d}=-m_{d}}^{m_{d}-1} a_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}} \Phi_{j_{, \lambda}}(t),
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p}\|\phi\|_{L_{p}[0,1]}^{d}\left\|a_{j}\right\|_{l_{p}^{m_{2}}} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Minkowskii integral inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|d_{t_{i}}^{k_{i}} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p}= & \| \int_{0}^{t_{i}} d u_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{t_{i}} \frac{\partial^{k_{i}}}{\partial x_{i}^{k_{i}}} \\
& \times f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{k_{i}}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) d u_{k} \|_{p} \\
= & \| \int_{0}^{t_{i}} d u_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{t_{i}} \sum_{j_{1}=-m_{1}}^{m_{1}-1} \cdots \sum_{j_{d}=-m_{d}}^{m_{d}-1} a_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}} \phi^{\left(k_{i}\right)} \\
& \times\left(\lambda_{i}^{-1}\left(x_{i}+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{k_{i}}\right)-j_{i}\right) \lambda_{i}^{-k_{i}} \prod_{s \neq i}^{d} \phi\left(\lambda_{s}^{-1} x_{s}-j_{s}\right) d u_{k_{i}} \|_{p} \\
\leqslant & \int_{0}^{\left|t_{i}\right|} \cdots \int_{0}^{\left|t_{i}\right|} \| \sum_{j_{1}=-m_{1}}^{m_{1}-1} \ldots \sum_{j_{d}=-m_{d}}^{m_{d}-1} a_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}} \phi^{\left(k_{i}\right)} \\
& \times\left(\lambda_{i}^{-1}\left(x_{i}+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{k_{i}}\right)-j_{i}\right) \lambda_{i}^{-k_{i}} \prod_{s \neq i}^{d} \phi\left(\lambda_{s}^{1} x_{s}-j_{s}\right) \|_{p} d u \\
= & \left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p} \lambda_{i}^{-k_{i}}\left\|\phi^{\left(k_{i}\right)}\right\|_{L_{p}[0,1]}\|\phi\|_{L_{p}[0,1]}^{d-1}\left\|a_{j}\right\|_{l_{p}^{m_{2}}}\left|t_{i}\right|^{k_{i}} \\
= & c_{i_{1}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p} \lambda_{i}^{-k_{i}}\left|t_{i}\right|^{k_{i}}\left\|a_{j}\right\| \|_{l_{p}^{m_{2}}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta_{t_{i}}^{k_{i}} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{i_{2}}\|f\|_{p} \leqslant c_{i_{3}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p}\left\|a_{j}\right\|_{l_{P}^{\bar{m}_{2}}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta_{t_{i}}^{k_{i}} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{i_{4}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p}\left\|a_{j}\right\| l_{p}^{m_{2}} \min \left\{1,\left(\lambda_{i}^{-1}\left|t_{i}\right|\right)^{k}\right\} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, for $1 \leqslant \theta<\infty$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{b_{t_{i p} \theta}^{r_{i}}\left(R^{d}\right)}= & \left(\int_{R}\left(\frac{\left\|\Delta_{t_{i}}^{k_{i}} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p}}{\left|t_{i}\right|^{r_{i}}}\right)^{\theta} \frac{d t_{i}}{\left|t_{i}\right|}\right)^{1 / \theta} \\
\leqslant & c_{i_{5}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p}\left\|a_{j}\right\|_{l_{p}^{m_{2}}}^{m_{2}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{\lambda_{i}} \lambda_{i}^{-k_{i} \theta} R^{\left(k_{i}-r_{i}\right) \theta-1} d R+\int_{\lambda_{i}}^{\infty} R^{-r_{i} \theta-1} d R\right)^{1 / \theta} \\
= & c_{i}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p} \lambda_{i}^{-r_{i}}\left\|a_{j}\right\| l_{p}^{m_{2}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

For the case $\theta=\infty,(2.8)$ is also valid. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{N} & :=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p} \mu^{-1}(2 \sigma)^{a} c_{N} \quad\left(c_{N}=\|\phi\|_{L_{p}[0,1]}^{d}+\max \left\{c_{i}: i=1, \ldots, d\right\}\right),  \tag{2.9}\\
Q_{N}\left(\delta_{N}\right) & :=\left\{f \in L_{m, \lambda}:\left\|a_{j}\right\| l_{p}^{m_{2}} \leqslant \delta_{N}^{-1}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then it is easy to see that $Q_{N} \subset \mathscr{A}$.
Now, we estimate the quantity $\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{A}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)$ from below, for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. Let $A$ be a subspace of $L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ with the average dimension $\operatorname{\operatorname {dim}}\left(A, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)$ $\leqslant \sigma$. By the definition of the average dimension, for any $N>0$, and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a subspace $A_{1} \subset L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)$ with the dimension $K:=\operatorname{dim} A_{1}=$ $K_{\varepsilon}\left(N, A, L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
E\left(\left.B(A)\right|_{I_{N}^{d}} ^{d}, A_{1}, L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)\right) \leqslant \varepsilon,
$$

where $B(A)$ denotes the unit ball of the space $A$. Moreover, for any $g \in A$, we have

$$
e\left(\left.g\right|_{I_{N}^{d}}, A_{1}, L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)\right) \leqslant \varepsilon\|g\|_{p}
$$

Here we have put $e(x, B, X):=\inf _{y(\cdot) \in B}\|x(\cdot)-y(\cdot)\|_{X}$, for any element $x$ of the subset $B$ of the linear normed space $X$. Thus, for any $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and any $g \in A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f-g\|_{p} & \geqslant\|f-g\|_{L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)} \\
& \geqslant e\left(f, A_{1}, L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)\right)-e\left(g, A_{1}, L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant e\left(f, A_{1}, L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)\right)-\varepsilon\|g\|_{p} \\
& \geqslant e\left(f, A_{1}, L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)\right)-\varepsilon\|f-g\|_{p}-\varepsilon\|f\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is

$$
(1+\varepsilon)\|f-g\|_{p} \geqslant e\left(f, A_{1}, L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)\right)-\varepsilon\|f\|_{p} .
$$

Further, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\varepsilon) E\left(\mathscr{A}, A, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \geqslant E\left(Q_{N}, A_{1}, L_{p}\left(I_{N}^{d}\right)\right)-\varepsilon \sup _{f \in Q_{N}}\|f\|_{p} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.4), (2.9), and Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(Q_{N}, A_{1}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) & \geqslant c^{\prime}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p} \delta_{N}^{-1} d_{K}\left(B\left(l_{p}^{\bar{m}_{2}}\right), l_{p}^{\bar{m}_{2}}\right) \\
& =c^{\prime}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / p} \delta_{N}^{-1}=c \mu \sigma^{-a} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.10) and (2.11), then by letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathscr{A}, L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \gg \mu \sigma^{-a} .
$$

By (1.0), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let $l$ be an even number, $0<\alpha<l$, as in [10], for any $f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$, we define the differential operator $D^{\alpha}$ by the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{\alpha} f\right)(x):=\lim _{\substack{L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right) \\ \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}}\left(D_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} f\right)(x) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $D_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$ is an operator defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(D_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} f\right)(x) & :=\frac{1}{m_{d, l}(\alpha)} \int_{|y| \geqslant \varepsilon} \frac{\Delta_{y}^{l} f(x)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} d y \\
m_{d, l}(\alpha) & :=\int_{R^{d}} \frac{\left(e^{i y_{1} / 2}-e^{-i y_{1} / 2}\right)^{l}}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{d}\right) \in R^{d}$. For $\rho>0$, set

$$
S_{\beta, \rho} f(x):=\sum_{v \in Z^{d}} f\left(\frac{v}{\rho}\right) L_{\beta}(\rho x-v),
$$

where $L_{\beta}(x)$ satisfies $L_{\beta}(v)=\delta_{v, 0}, v \in Z^{d}$, and is defined by its generalized Fourier transform

$$
\widehat{L_{\beta}}(y)=(2 \pi)^{-d / 2} \frac{|y|^{-\beta}}{\sum_{v \in Z^{d}}|y-2 v \pi|^{-\beta}} .
$$

For $\alpha>0$, put

$$
W_{p}^{\alpha}\left(R^{d}\right):=\left\{f \in L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right) \cap C\left(R^{d}\right):\left\|D^{\alpha} f\right\|_{p}<\infty\right\} .
$$

By [5], we can get
Lemma 3. Let $\alpha>d / p, 1 \leqslant p<\infty, \rho>0, \beta \geqslant \alpha$, and $\beta>d$. Then, for all $f \in W_{p}^{\alpha}\left(R^{d}\right)(\alpha-d \neq 2,4, \ldots)$, there exists a constant $c=c(\alpha, p, \beta)>0$, such that

$$
\left\|f-S_{\beta, \rho} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant c \rho^{-\alpha}\left\|D^{\alpha} f\right\|_{p}
$$

For $\lambda>0$, denote by $S B_{\lambda}^{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$ the set of all entire functions of spherical exponential type $\leqslant \lambda$ (see [4]), we have the following inequality.

Lemma 4. Let $\lambda>0,1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and $\sigma>0$. Then, for all $f \in S B_{\lambda}^{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$, there exists a constant $c=c(\alpha, p)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant c \lambda^{\alpha}\|f\|_{p} .
$$

Proof. By the definition of $D^{\alpha} f$ in (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{\alpha} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{1} \int_{R^{d}} \frac{\left\|\Delta_{y}^{l} f\right\|_{p}}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} d y \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in S B_{\lambda}^{p}\left(R^{d}\right)$, it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta_{y}^{l} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{2}\|f\|_{p} \min \left\{1,(|y| \lambda)^{l}\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{3}\|f\|_{p}\left(\lambda^{l} \int_{0}^{\lambda-1} t^{l-\alpha-1} d t+\int_{\lambda^{-1}}^{\infty} t^{-\alpha-1} d t\right)=c \lambda^{\alpha}\|f\|_{p}
$$

We complete the proof of Lemma 4.
Proof of Theorem 2. Upper estimate. For any $f \in S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right)$, by [4], $f$ may be represented in the form of a series converging to it in the sense of
$L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right): f(x)=\sum_{l \in Z_{+}} Q_{a^{\prime}}(x), Z_{+}=:\{0,1, \ldots\}$, whose terms are entire functions of spherical exponential type $a^{l}, a>1$, such that

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} \asymp \begin{cases}\left\{\sum_{l \in Z_{+}} a^{l r \theta}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p}^{\theta}\right\}^{1 / \theta}, & 1 \leqslant \theta<\infty  \tag{3.4}\\ \sup _{l \in Z_{+}} a^{l r}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p}, & \theta=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Let $\alpha \in(d / p, r)$ and $\beta>r$. For $\rho>1$, let $N$ be a natural number and satisfy $\rho<a^{N}<2 \rho$. By Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|Q_{a^{l}}-S_{\beta, \rho} Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p} & \leqslant c_{1} \rho^{-\beta}\left\|D^{\beta} Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leqslant c \rho^{-\beta} a^{l \beta}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0 \leqslant l \leqslant N-1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{a^{l}}-S_{\beta, \rho} Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{1} \rho^{-\alpha}\left\|D^{\alpha} Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c \rho^{-\alpha} a^{l \alpha}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $l \geqslant N$. Thus, by (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f-S_{\beta, \rho} f\right\|_{p} & \leqslant \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}-S_{\beta, \rho} Q_{a^{l} \|_{p}}=\left(\sum_{l=0}^{N-1}+\sum_{l=N}^{\infty}\right)\right\| Q_{a^{l}}-S_{\beta, \rho} Q_{a^{l} \|_{p}} \\
& \ll \rho^{-\beta} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} a^{l \beta}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p}+\rho^{-\alpha} \sum_{l=N}^{\infty} a^{l \alpha}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, by (3.4) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} a^{l \beta}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p} & \leqslant\left(\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} a^{l r \theta}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p}^{\theta}\right)^{1 / \theta}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} a^{l(\beta-r) \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / \theta^{\prime}} \\
& \ll\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} a^{N(\beta-r)} \ll \rho^{\beta-r}\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}(R d)} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{l=N}^{\infty} a^{l \alpha}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p} & \leqslant\left(\sum_{l=N}^{\infty} a^{l r \theta}\left\|Q_{a^{l}}\right\|_{p}\right)^{1 / \theta}\left(\sum_{l=N}^{\infty} a^{l(\alpha-r) \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / \theta^{\prime}} \\
& \ll\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} a^{-N(r-\alpha)} \ll\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} \rho^{\alpha-r}, \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for $1<\theta<\infty$. By (3.7) to (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f-S_{\beta, \rho} f\right\|_{p} & \ll\left(\rho^{-\beta} \cdot \rho^{\beta-r}+\rho^{-\alpha} \cdot \rho^{\alpha-r}\right)\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} \\
& \ll \rho^{-r}\|f\|_{B_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\theta=1, \infty$, (3.10) is also valid. Let $\rho=\sigma^{1 / d}$. By (3.10), we have

$$
E_{L}^{\sigma}\left(S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right), L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \leqslant \sup _{f \in S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right)}\left\|f-S_{\beta, \sqrt[d]{\sigma}} f\right\|_{p} \ll \sigma^{-r / d} .
$$

Lower estimate. For any $\xi \in \Theta_{\sigma}$, i.e.,

$$
\overline{\operatorname{card}} \xi=\liminf _{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{card}\left(\xi \cap[-c, c]^{d}\right)}{(2 c)^{d}} \leqslant \sigma,
$$

there exists a cube with the form

$$
Q=\left\{x \in R^{d}: a_{j} \leqslant x_{j} \leqslant a_{j}+m^{-1}, j=1, \ldots, d\right\},
$$

$m=(2 \sigma)^{1 / d}$, such that its interior Int $Q$ does not contain any point of $\xi$; that is, Int $Q \cap \xi=\varnothing$. This is easy to see from the fact that $|Q|=(2 \sigma)^{-1}$. Let the univariate function $\lambda(t), t \in R$, satisfy the following conditions: $\lambda(t) \in C^{\infty}(R), \quad \operatorname{supp} \lambda \subset[0,1], \quad 0 \leqslant \lambda(t) \leqslant 1$ for $t \in R$, and $\lambda(t)=1$ for $t \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]$. For $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, set

$$
f_{0}(x)=\eta \prod_{j=1}^{d} \lambda\left(m\left(x_{j}-a_{j}\right)\right),
$$

where $\eta$ is a positive constant to be determined. It is easy to see that $f_{0}(x) \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{d}\right), \operatorname{supp} f_{0} \subset Q, I_{\xi} f_{0}=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p} \leqslant \eta m^{-d / p} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\left\|\Delta_{t}^{k} f_{0}(\cdot)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{1} \eta m^{-d / p} \min \left\{1,(m|t|)^{k}\right\} .
$$

Further, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{b_{p \theta}^{r}\left(R^{d}\right)} & \leqslant c_{2} \eta m^{d / p}\left(\int_{0}^{m-1} m^{k \theta} t^{(k-r) \theta-1} d t+\int_{m^{-1}}^{\infty} t^{-r \theta-1} d t\right)^{1 / \theta} \\
& \leqslant c_{3} \eta m^{-d / p+r} . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

For the case $\theta=\infty$, (3.12) is also valid. By (3.11) and (3.12), if we let $\eta=m^{d / p-r} c^{-1}\left(c=c_{3}+1\right)$, then $f_{0} \in S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right)$. Set

$$
\bar{Q}=\left\{x \in R^{d}: a_{i}+\frac{1}{4 m} \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant a_{i}+\frac{3}{4 m}, i=1, \ldots, d\right\} .
$$

For any $\xi \in \Theta_{\sigma}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(I_{\xi}^{-1}\left(I_{\xi} f_{0}\right) \cap S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right)\right) & \geqslant\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p} \geqslant\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L_{p}(\bar{Q})}=\eta|\bar{Q}|^{1 / p} \\
& \geqslant c m^{d / p-r}(2 m)^{-d / p} \gg \sigma^{-r / d} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.13) and the definition of $\Delta_{\sigma}\left(S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right), L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right)$, we get

$$
\Delta_{\sigma}\left(S_{p \theta}^{r} B\left(R^{d}\right), L_{p}\left(R^{d}\right)\right) \gg \sigma^{-r / d} .
$$

By (1.2), we complete the proof of Theorem 2.
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